A Langevin Equation Approach to Sine-Gordon Soliton Diffusion with Application to Nucleation Rates

F. Marchesoni ^{1;2}, P. Hänggi ¹, and P. Sodano ²

 ¹Institut für Mathematik, Universität Augsburg, D-8900 Augsburg, Fed. Rep. of Germany
 ²Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Perugia, I-06100 Perugia, Italy

1. Introduction

The sine-Gordon (SG) equation (in units of the speed of light c = 1)

$$\phi_{tt} - \phi_{xx} + m^2 \sin \phi = 0 \tag{1}$$

bears both standing-wave (phonons) and solitary-wave solutions (solitons). Equation (1) can be derived from the relativistically covariant Hamiltonian density $H[\phi] = \frac{1}{2} (\phi_x^2 + \phi_t^2) - m^2 \cos \phi$, m being a lattice constant⁽¹⁾. For later convenience, we write explicitly the *single* soliton solution (mod 2π)

$$\phi^{K;\bar{K}}(x,u) = 4 \text{ tg}^{-1} \left\{ \exp\left[\pm m\gamma(x - X(t))\right] \right\}, \quad X(t) = x_0 + ut \quad . \tag{2}$$

Here, \pm signs refer to the two possible helicities of the solution (kink ϕ^K and anti-kink $\phi^{\bar{K}}$, respectively), $\gamma \equiv (1 - u^2)^{-1/2}$ denotes the Lorentz contraction and u the translational speed of the soliton. $\phi^{K;\bar{K}}$ carry opposite topological charge and are stable against almost every small fluctuation, the only exception being a rigid translation, against which $\phi^{K;\bar{K}}$ are in neutral equilibrium (Goldstone mode).

The statistical SG theory deals with a gas of phonons and solitons, the number of which is controlled by the relevant creation energy (or chemical potential in the grand-canonical formalism). A statistical mechanical approach has been proposed by Currie *et al.*⁽²⁾ for the limit of low temperature, where solitary waves may be approximated to a linear superposition of non-interacting kinks (K) and antikinks (K) (dilute gas approximation). The creation (or rest) energy for $\phi^{K;K}$ is given by the integral $E_0 = \int dx \ H[\phi^{K;K}(x,0)] = 8m$, whence the low temperature condition⁽²⁾ $\beta E_0 >> 1$, $\beta \equiv (k \ T)^{-1}$ being the reciprocal of the absolute temperature. The mean square velocity of $\phi^{K;K}$ coincides with the gas kinetic theory prediction $(\beta E_0)^{-1}$.

The equilibrium kink-density per unit of length, n_0 , is defined as the ratio between the (canonical) partition function of the field configurations with one soliton, and the partition function with no soliton present^(1,3)

$$n_0 = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} m(\beta E_0)^{1/2} e^{-\beta E_0}$$
 (3)

The (canonical) partition functions of the statistical SG theory at a given temperature, can be obtained through the stationary statistics of the stochastic process⁽⁴⁾

88

$$\phi_{tt} - \phi_{xx} + m^2 \sin \phi = -\alpha \phi_t + \zeta(x, t) , \qquad (4)$$

where $\zeta(x, t)$ is a Gaussian fluctuating field of force with $\langle \zeta \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \zeta(x, t) | \zeta(x', t') \rangle = 2\alpha kT \delta(t-t') \delta(x-x')$. In the presence of *small* fluctuations, $\beta E_0 >> 1$, $\phi^{K;K}$ is stable and undergoes Brownian motion⁽⁴⁻⁶⁾.

2. The Langevin equation

For the sake of generality we add to the rhs of (4) a constant bias F, i.e.

$$\phi_{tt} - \phi_{xx} + m^2 \sin \phi = -\alpha \phi_t - F + \zeta(x, t) \qquad (5)$$

The condition $F < m^2$ is imposed to preserve the multistability of the system. Following the perturbation approach of Ref. 7 we assume that in the zero-th order the shape of the single kink solution (2) is left unchanged, whereas the perturbation on the rhs of (5) only affects the motion of the coordinates X(t) and $u(t) \equiv \dot{X}(t)$. Thus, on invoking a simple energy conservation argument⁽⁷⁾,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int\mathrm{d}x\;H[\phi^{K;\overline{K}}\left(x,\,u(t)\right)]\;\equiv E_{0}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\gamma(t)=-\int\mathrm{d}x[\alpha\;\phi_{t}^{K;\overline{K}}+F-\zeta(x,\,t)]\;\phi_{t}^{K;\overline{K}}\;, \eqno(6)$$

where $\gamma(t) = (1 - u^2(t))^{-1/2}$ is the stochastic Lorentz contraction, we obtain the following relativistic Langevin equation (LE)⁽⁸⁾

$$\dot{p} = -\alpha p + 2\pi F + \gamma(t)E_0 \xi(t)$$
 (7)

 $\xi(t)$ is a Gaussian fluctuating force with $<\xi>=0$ and $<\xi(t)$ $\xi(0)>=2\alpha[\gamma(t)\beta E_0]^{-1}$ $\delta(t)$. p(t) denotes here the momentum of $\phi^{K;R}$, i.e. $p(t)=\gamma(t)$ E_0 u(t).

The LE (7) holds for any value of the frictional constant α . However, in view of application to overdamped systems - but losing generality - we impose the condition $\alpha >> m$. In the overdamped limit three major simplifications are allowed: (i) time-dependent solutions to (1), e.g. breathers, are damped and, therefore, do not play any significant role in the statistics of the problem^(3,7); (ii) our results can be worked out in the non-relativistic approximation $\gamma \to 1$; (iii) K-K collisions are almost always destructive⁽⁷⁾, i.e. the relevant transmission coefficient is exponentially small. In the limit $\gamma \to 1$, (7) reads

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}} = -\alpha \mathbf{u} + \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{m}} + \xi(\mathbf{t}) \qquad . \tag{8}$$

In the absence of fluctuations the translational speed of $\phi^{K;\overline{K}}$ approaches a stationary value inversely proportional to α , i.e.

$$u_{F} = \pm \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{F}{m\alpha} \quad . \tag{9}$$

Moreover, the fluctuations about u_F are very small at low temperature, i.e. $< (u(t) - u_F)^2 > \cong (\beta E_0)^{-1}$, thus justifying the non-relativistic approximation.

3. Nucleation rates

a) Nucleation of a single K-K pair (1,3,9).

Thermal kinks and antikinks are produced in pairs so that the total topological charge of the system is conserved. Thermal fluctuations trigger the process by activating a large nucleus about a vacuum configuration of the field ϕ , say $\phi_0 = 0$. Such a nucleus is described by the doublet-solution⁽³⁾ $\phi_D = 4tg^{-1}[sh(mu\gamma t) / u \ ch \ (m\gamma x)]$ (the origin of x and t are taken arbitrary) and when its size grows very large it can be approximated by a linear superposition of a kink and an antikink. The components of a large nucleus ϕ_D experience two contrasting forces, an *attractive* force due to the vicinity of the nucleating partner, the potential of interaction being a function of the distance 2X between their centres of mass ,

$$V_D(X) = -2E_0 e^{-2mX}, mX >> 1,$$
 (10)

and a repulsive force due to the external bias F, which pulls ϕ^K and $\phi^{\overline{K}}$ apart.

Such a single-pair nucleation process can be described in our LE scheme by substituting ϕ_D in (6). This amounts to just adding a K- \overline{K} interaction term in (7); for a nucleating kink we have (in ϕ_D rest frame)

$$\ddot{X} = -\alpha \dot{X} - 4m e^{-2mX} + \frac{\pi F}{4m} + \xi(t) \qquad . \tag{11}$$

The nucleation process is thus reduced to the problem of the stochastic decay of a one-dimensional metastable state. The relevant potential barrier is located at $X_p(F) = -(2m)^{-1} \ln (\pi F/16 \text{ m}^2)$ with curvature $|\Omega|^2 = \pi F/2$. Note that for $F << m^2$ the critical size of ϕ_D becomes much larger than the single soliton size m^{-1} . The activation energy $\Delta E(F)$ can be calculated by employing the same argument as in (6):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dF}}\Delta \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{F}) = -\int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \,\, \phi_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{x}) \cong \, -2\pi \,\, (2\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{F})) \qquad . \tag{12}$$

On substituting the explicit expression for $X_p(F)$ and carrying out the integration with initial condition $\Delta E(0) = 2E_0$ (rest pair energy for $X_p \to \infty$) we obtain⁽⁸⁾

$$\Delta E(F) = 2E_F = 2E_0 \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{F}{m^2} \left[ln(\frac{\pi}{16} \frac{F}{m^2}) - 1 \right] \right)$$
 (13)

The LE (11) only describes the stochastic decay of the unstable mode X(t), irrespective of the stable modes (phonons) dressing both the vacuum ϕ_0 and the pair configuration, $\phi_D(x) \cong \phi^K(x-X) - \phi^{\overline{K}}(x+X)$. The decay rate of a metastable multidimensional system in the overdamped limit has been calculated by Langer⁽¹⁰⁾. Since in the present case there exist only one translational mode (the process is invariant under translation) and one metastable mode X(t), Langer's formula is

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{|\Omega|^2}{\alpha^{1/2}} \left(\frac{\beta \Delta E}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{\prod_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n^0}{\prod_{n \neq 1} |\lambda_n^D|} \right\}^{1/2} e^{-\beta \Delta E}$$
 (14)

The quantity in braces has been calculated explicitly by Langer⁽¹⁰⁾ and Büttiker and Landauer (Appendix B of Ref. 3). Substituting the explicit expressions for the quantities appearing in (14) yields an analytical result for the Büttiker-Landauer nucleation rate⁽³⁾, which reads⁽⁸⁾

$$\Gamma_{\rm BL} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{{\rm m}^2 \sqrt{\rm F}}{\alpha} (\beta E_{\rm F})^{1/2} {\rm e}^{-2\beta E_{\rm F}}$$
 (15)

An advantage of our approach compared with that of Ref. 3 is that it provides an analytical expression for the negative eigenvalue $\lambda_0^D = \Omega^2/\alpha = -\pi F/(2\alpha)$, which fits the numerical calculation⁽³⁾ for $F < m^2/2$. Since $\Delta E(F)$, (13), reproduces the relevant numerical result of Ref. 3 for even larger values of F, our determination of Γ_{BL} holds eventually for $F < m^2/2$. An analytical expression for Γ_{BL} in the limit $F \to m^2$ is also available^(3;9). According to Büttiker and Landauer⁽³⁾ the nucleation mechanism described above is only valid when the thermal energy kT is much smaller than the mechanical work done by the external force F in the (free) soliton lifetime, i.e. $2\pi F < n_0$ kT. It should be remarked, however, that for $F/m^2 < kT/E_0 << 1$ the nucleus has a broad width. Under such circumstances a Langer decay mechanism for the nucleus is no longer tenable. Moreover, effects due to the finite lifetime of a given thermal pair in the presence of a K- \bar{K} gas are to play a decisive role^(8,11).

b) Nucleation of interacting pairs (8)

A quite different prediction for the K-K nucleation rate in the overdamped limit may be obtained by equating the kink production rate to the annihilation rate. The calculation of the annihilation rate is very simple for $\alpha >> m$, where K-K collisions are always destructive.

The mean square displacement of a diffusive soliton follows from (8),

$$\langle \Delta X^{2}(t) \rangle = 2Dt + u_{F}^{2} t^{2} - \frac{2D}{\alpha} (1 - e^{-\alpha t})$$
 (16)

with $D = (\beta E_o \alpha)^{-1}$. Observing that the average distance between annihilating solitons is given by $L = n_0^{-1}$, the soliton mean lifetime, τ_F , is determined by the equation $\langle \Delta X^2(\tau_F) \rangle = L^2$, i.e. in the dilute gas approximation,

$$\tau_{\rm F} \cong \frac{{\rm D}}{{\rm u}_{\rm F}^2} \left[-1 + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{{\rm u}_{\rm F}}{{\rm D}{\rm n}_0}\right)^2} \right]$$
(17)

The production (annihilation) rate of thermal K- \overline{K} pairs per length unit is thus given by the universal function⁽⁸⁾

$$\Gamma = \frac{2n_0}{\tau_F} = 2n_0^3 D \left[\sqrt{1 + (\frac{F}{F_c})^2} + 1 \right] ,$$
 (18)

where $F_c \equiv kT \, n_0 / 2\pi$. The steady-state kink density $n_0 \equiv n_0(F)$ has been worked out from the definition of n_0 given in the introduction when the presence of the external field is accounted for ⁽⁸⁾. In the leading order $n_0(F)$ is given by (3) where in the exponential E_0 is replaced with E_F in (13).

Eq. (18) can be specialized to two important limits:

(i): Diffusive limit: $F \ll F_c$ in (18) implies $\Gamma_D \cong 4 \, \mathrm{Dn}_0^3$, and, explicitly (8,11)

$$\Gamma_{\rm D} = {\rm m}^2 \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{3/2} \frac{E_{\rm F}}{2\alpha} (\beta E_{\rm F})^{1/2} {\rm e}^{-3\beta E_{\rm F}}$$
 (19)

Note that the Arrhenius factor in (19) involves three times the rest energy of a soliton.

(ii) Ballistic limit: $F >> F_c$ in (18) justifies the approximation⁽⁸⁾ $\Gamma_B \cong 2 u_F n_0^2$, i.e.

$$\Gamma_{\rm B} = m \frac{F}{\alpha} (\beta E_{\rm F}) e^{-2\beta E_{\rm F}} \qquad (\frac{F}{m^2} < \frac{kT}{E_0}) \qquad (20)$$

The two results in (15) and (20) differ by an interaction induced renormalization of the damping coefficient α in (15), $\alpha \to \alpha_{BL} = \alpha(m/\pi)$ (2/F βE_F)^{1/2}. Compared with (15) the result in (20) exhibits an additional factor of (βE_F)^{1/2}, which amounts to the "breathing - mode" contribution, i.e. with (F/m²) < kT/E₀, λ_0^D is a small negative eigenvalue which, in addition to the Goldstone mode, can be treated as an approximate, collective variable to be integrated over⁽¹²⁾.

References

- 1) A. Seeger and P. Schiller, Physical Acoustics, Vol. 3, (Academic, New York, 1966) p. 361
- 2) J.F. Currie, J.A. Krumhansl, A.R. Bishop and S.E. Trullinger, Phys. Rev. <u>B22</u> 477 (1980).
- 3) M. Büttiker and R. Landauer, Phys. Rev. A23 1397 (1981).
- 4) F. Marchesoni, Phys. Lett. A115 29 (1986).
- E. Joergensen, V.P. Koshelets, R. Monaco, J. Mygind and M.R. Samuelsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49 1093 (1982), D.J. Bergman, E. Ben-Jacob, Y. Imry and K. Maki, Phys. Rev. A27 3345 (1983);
- 6) F. Marchesoni and C.R. Willis, Phys. Rev. A36 4559 (1987).
- 7) D.W. McLaughlin and A.C. Scott, Phys. Rev. A18 1652 (1978).
- P. Hänggi, F. Marchesoni and P. Sodano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 2563 (1988).
- 9) B. V. Petukhov and V.L. Pokrovskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. <u>63</u> 634 (1972) (JETP, <u>36</u> 336 (1973)).
- 10) J.S. Langer, Ann. Phys. (NY) 54 258 (1969).
- 11) F. Marchesoni, Phys. Rev. **B34** 6536 (1986).
- 12) U. Weiss, H. Grabert, P. Hänggi and P. Riseborough, Phys. Rev. <u>B35</u>, 9535 (1987).