Orbital Ordering and Spin-Ladder Formation in La₂RuO₅

V. Eyert, S. G. Ebbinghaus, and T. Kopp

Institut für Physik, Universität Augsburg, 86135 Augsburg, Germany (Received 30 November 2005; published 27 June 2006)

The semiconductor-semiconductor transition of La_2RuO_5 is studied by means of augmented spherical wave electronic structure calculations as based on density-functional theory and the local density approximation. This transition has lately been reported to lead to orbital ordering and a quenching of the local spin magnetic moment. Our results hint towards an orbital ordering scenario which, markedly different from the previously proposed scheme, preserves the local S = 1 moment at the Ru sites in the low-temperature phase. The unusual magnetic behavior is interpreted by the formation of spin ladders, which result from the structural changes occurring at the transition and are characterized by antiferromagnetic coupling along the rungs.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.256401

The orbital degeneracy of d-shell atoms lays groundwork for numerous exciting phenomena observed in transition-metal compounds [1]. Orbital fluctuations as well as orbital ordering lead to extraordinary ground states, low-energy excitations, and phase transitions. Well-known examples for such ordering phenomena are the perovskitebased manganites [2,3] and the antiferro-orbital structure in KCuF₃ [4]. Increased complexity is observed for compounds, where the orbitals couple to the spin or charge degrees of freedom. This situation has been studied by Kugel and Khomskii for magnetic systems [5]. Orbital and magnetic ordering has also been found in the triangular chain magnet Ca₃Co₂O₆, which is characterized by an alternation of low-spin and high-spin sites [6]. In contrast, the interplay of charge and orbital order as well as singlet formation has been demonstrated to play a significant role in the Magnéli phase Ti_4O_7 [7]. While orbital ordering has been primarily studied for transition-metal compounds of the 3d series, interest in the 4d oxides has grown considerably. Prominent examples for such oxides are Ca₂RuO₄ [8], Sr₂RuO₄, which shows superconductivity below $T_c \approx$ 1.5 K [9], and SrRuO₃, which is ferromagnetic below 160 K [10].

Recently, focus has centered on the new ruthenate La₅RuO₅, which shows a first-order phase transition near 160 K [11]. This semiconductor-semiconductor transition is associated with a slight increase of the band gap from ≈ 0.15 eV to about 0.21 eV. In addition, it is accompanied by strong changes in the magnetic properties as well as by a transformation from a monoclinic to a triclinic lattice. High-temperature Curie-Weiss behavior, with $\mu_{eff} =$ $2.53\mu_B$ and $\Theta = -71$ K, is attributed to the low-spin (S = 1) moments of the Ru⁴⁺ ions. At the transition, the susceptibility drops to a small, nearly temperatureindependent value with a slight upturn at lowest temperatures assigned to free intrinsic spins or extrinsic impurities [11,12]. Furthermore, from the absence of any field dependence of the magnetic susceptibility for fields up to 9 T a complete quenching of the magnetic moments was deduced and attributed to an orbital ordering of the Ru ions.

PACS numbers: 71.20.-b, 71.30.+h, 71.70.Ch, 71.70.Gm

A schematic representation of the crystal structure is displayed in Fig. 1. Arrows indicate the random orientation of the spin moments in the high-temperature (HT) phase. The structure is built from octahedral chains parallel to the c axis, which form a zigzaglike pattern in the *ab* plane, thus giving rise to double-layer slabs, which are separated by LaO layers [11,13,14]. The main features of the crystal structure are preserved in the low-temperature (LT) phase [11,14]. However, according to the neutron data the magnetic transition is accompanied by pronounced local structural changes leading to alternating shortenings and elongations of the Ru-O-Ru bond lengths [14]. While the Ru-Ru nearest-neighbor distances are quite similar to each other in the HT phase, the LT phase is characterized by short and long distances alternating both within the plane and along the c axis. The in-plane octahedral Ru—O bonds, which range from 1.94 to 2.06 Å in the HT phase, fall into two short and two long bonds ranging from 1.87 to 1.97 Å and 1.98 to 2.10 Å, respectively. The bonds parallel to the c axis are also larger than 2.0 Å. In the rotated coordinate system sketched in Fig. 1, the long and short bonds evolving in the LT phase are along the x and the y axis, respectively. The structural changes lead to two inequivalent Ru sites, which alternate along the c axis as well as the zigzaglike in-plane pattern. From structural considerations, Khalifah et al. concluded that in the LT



FIG. 1 (color online). Crystal structure of HT La_2RuO_5 viewed along the *c* direction. La, Ru, and O atoms are displayed as large, medium, and small circles, respectively.

0031-9007/06/96(25)/256401(4)

phase the Ru $4d_{yz}$ orbitals are depopulated, leading to the configuration $d_{xy}^2 d_{xz}^2 d_{yz}^0$, hence, an S = 0 state. In contrast, in the HT phase the t_{2g} states would be nearly degenerate and Hund's rule coupling gives rise to the S = 1 electron configuration of $d_{xy}^2 d_{xz}^1 d_{yz}^1$, $d_{xy}^1 d_{xz}^2 d_{yz}^1$, or $d_{xy}^1 d_{xz}^1 d_{yz}^2$. Thus, the phase transition was interpreted as an orbital ordering transition with a complete loss of the local magnetic moment. Finally, the occurrence of an inelastic peak at about 40 meV as observed in neutron scattering was assigned to the formation of a spin gap in the LT phase [11]. Underlining the importance of intersite interactions, Osborn pointed out that the observed inelastic response should be attributed to singlet-to-triplet excitations [15]. This point of view was supported by Khomskii and Mizokawa [16].

Concentrating on the above mentioned discrepancies in interpreting the data for the LT phase, we report on density-functional calculations as based on crystal structure data for both phases [14]. As expected, we find strong changes of the orbital occupations resulting from the structural transformation. However, in contrast to the proposal by Khalifah *et al.*, these changes conserve the local S = 1 moment. From spin-polarized calculations it is inferred that the suppression of the susceptibility in the LT phase results from a spin-Peierls–like transition coming with the formation of spin ladders with antiferromagnetic coupling on the rungs.

The calculations were performed using the scalarrelativistic augmented spherical wave (ASW) method [17,18]. The large voids of the open crystal structure were accounted for by additional augmentation spheres, which were automatically generated by the sphere geometry optimization algorithm [19]. The Brillouin zone sampling was done using an increased number of up to 1024 and 2048 **k** points in the irreducible wedge of the monoclinic and triclinic Brillouin zone, respectively. Both the local density approximation (LDA) and the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [20] are used as well as a new version of the ASW code, which takes the nonspherical contributions to the charge density inside the atomic spheres into account [21].

Partial densities of states (DOS) as emerging from spindegenerate calculations are displayed in Fig. 2 for the HT and the LT structure. Contributions from both Ru 4d and O 2p states are included. For the oxygen contributions we have distinguished the atoms O(1) to O(4), which form the RuO₆ octahedra, from those of atom O(5), which is at the center of the La₄O tetrahedra. Not shown are the La 5*d* and 4*f* states, which give rise to a sharp peak at about 5 eV and smaller contributions between -4.5 and -1.5 eV. All other orbitals play only a negligible role in the energy interval shown.

In Fig. 2, the lowest group of bands extending from -6.9 to -1.5 eV derives mainly from the O 2p states. In contrast, the Ru 4d states are found mainly in the energy interval from -1.1 to 0.5 eV as well as above 1.5 eV. Because of the octahedral coordination of Ru by oxygen atoms, these states are split into t_{2g} bands near the Fermi energy and e_g states well above E_F . Hybridization with the O 2p states is extremely strong and leads to large p admixtures to the DOS near E_F , which are of the order of $\approx 40\%$ of the Ru 4d contributions in that interval.

The partial DOS of the O(5) 2p states deviates considerably from those of the remaining four oxygen atoms and shows a steady increase between -4.5 and -1.5 eV as well as a sharp drop at the upper edge. La 5d and 4f admixtures in this interval of the order of 15% of the O(5) 2p contribution are attributed to strong hybridization due to the formation of the La₄O(5) tetrahedra. The large bandwidth of these rather localized tetrahedral states reflects their considerable extent in space.

In general, the similarity of the crystal structures of the HT and the LT phase is well reflected by the similarity of the partial DOS. Yet, changes are observed for the Ru 4*d* t_{2g} states. In particular, this group of bands becomes broader and the strong peak at E_F , seen for the HT structure, is reduced.

The differences between the electronic properties of the HT and the LT phase become much clearer from a detailed analysis of the near- E_F states. For the notation of the orbitals the rotated coordinate system indicated in Fig. 1 is used. In this system, the partial densities of states of all three Ru t_{2g} bands display the expected, rather similar behavior for the HT phase. As a consequence, integration of these partial DOS leads to almost identical orbital occupations as shown in the left panel of Fig. 3; the centers of gravity of these bands as highlighted by the arrows likewise indicate a rather small crystal field splitting.



FIG. 2 (color online). Partial DOS as resulting from the HT (left panel) and the LT (right panel) crystal structure.





Note that the t_{2g} occupations do not vary from 0 to 2 in the energy interval shown as would be expected from pure dstates. Because of the strong p admixture to these bands, the energy variation of the orbital occupations appears to be somewhat reduced and eventually has to be translated to the ideal picture of pure d states. Obviously, filling the nearly degenerate t_{2g} bands according to Hund's rules leads to one of the electronic configurations $d_{xy}^2 d_{xz}^1 d_{yz}^1$, $d_{xy}^1 d_{xz}^2 d_{yz}^1$, or $d_{xy}^1 d_{xz}^1 d_{yz}^2$ as proposed in Ref. [11]. In this context it is appropriate to briefly comment on the role of correlation effects beyond those already included in the LDA/GGA. For the formation of the orbital state, Hund's rule coupling, which originates from the local Coulomb interaction, is essential. This correlation effect is hard to deduce from density-functional theory calculations. However, Hund's rules can be applied straightforwardly without the knowledge of the exact values of the coupling when we discuss the formation of local moments-provided that the coupling is much larger than the splitting of the t_{2g} levels, a requirement which is easily fulfilled. Since the present calculations reproduce the experimental value of the optical band gap, other correlation effects beyond the GGA do not seem to be of critical importance.

A noticeably different situation is obtained for the LT structure. Integrated partial densities of states for the atom Ru(2) are shown in the right panel of Fig. 3. The corresponding curves for atom Ru(1) are very similar and thus not displayed. Strong similarities between the d_{xy} and d_{yz} partial DOS are observed. In contrast, the d_{xz} state deviates substantially. In particular, the center of gravity of this band is separated from those of the remaining bands by about 0.4 eV and the occupation is much larger as compared to that of the other states as is expected from the elongation of the Ru—O bonds along the local x axis. Transferring these findings to the ideal picture of pure dstates leads to the electronic configuration $d_{xy}^1 d_{xz}^2 d_{yz}^1$. This is in strong contradiction to the $d_{xy}^2 d_{xz}^2 d_{yz}^0$ state proposed by Khalifah *et al.*, who claim the full occupancy of the d_{xy} orbital at the expense of the d_{yz} state. Yet, the similar occupations of the d_{xy} and d_{yz} orbitals obtained from the present calculations are more in-line with bond-length considerations using the Ru—O distances discussed above. Our results have important consequences for the magnetic moments. While Khalifah et al. propose the complete FIG. 3 (color online). Integrated partial DOS (NOS) of Ru 4*d* t_{2g} states as resulting from the HT (left panel) and the LT (right panel) crystal structure. Results for the Ru(1) atom of the LT phase are very similar to those for atom Ru(2), which are shown here. Orbitals refer to the rotated coordinate system depicted in Fig. 1. The arrows indicate the centers of gravity of the respective bands.

quenching of the local moment, the present calculations clearly reveal the conservation of the S = 1-spin moment through the phase transition. However, since the orbital degeneracy has been lifted by the structural distortion coming with the triclinic phase, this moment is carried exclusively by the d_{xy} and d_{yz} orbitals.

The identification of an S = 1 state for the triclinic structure motivated additional spin-polarized calculations for the LT phase. Long-range ferromagnetic order can be ruled out from the low-temperature susceptibility data. In addition, the fact that no extra reflections were detected in the neutron diffraction data for the LT phase allows only for antiferromagnetic order with opposite moments at the inequivalent Ru sites. This situation suggests a singlet ground state which, with the discussed structural and orbital transitions, may be realized as a spin-Peierls-like state. We have simulated this state by starting from opposite moments at the Ru(1) and Ru(2) sites. Indeed, our calculations resulted in a self-consistent solution, which comes with an energy lowering of 5 meV per Ru pair as compared to the spin-degenerate case. In addition, an optical band gap of 0.17 eV is obtained in LDA. GGA calculations result in 0.20 eV, which is very close to the experimental value and somewhat smaller than the value of 0.5 eV obtained from more recent LDA + U calculations [22].

The calculated magnetic moments arise to equal parts from the d_{xy} and d_{yz} orbitals thus confirming the expectation from the previous spin-degenerate calculations. In total, local moments of $0.77\mu_B$ and $-0.73\mu_B$ are obtained at the Ru(1) and Ru(2) sites, respectively. Together with small contributions from the nearest-neighbor oxygen atoms a magnetic moment of $\pm 0.85\mu_B$ per octahedron results, which increases to $\pm 1.06\mu_B$ per octahedron on going from LDA to GGA. Interestingly, despite the absence of any symmetry constraint, both types of calculations lead to a compensation of the magnetic moments at neighboring octahedra and hence to an exactly vanishing magnetic moment per unit cell. This finding is in excellent agreement with the suppression of the magnetic susceptibility below the phase transition.

Finally, we performed spin-polarized calculations also for the HT phase, assuming the same type of ordering as for the LT structure. As a result, a metallic solution with magnetic moments of $\pm 0.76 \mu_B$ per Ru atom was obtained,



FIG. 4 (color online). Crystal and spin structure of LT La_2RuO_5 viewed along the *c* direction. La, Ru, and O atoms are displayed as large, medium, and small circles, respectively.

however, with a total energy much higher than that of the corresponding spin-degenerate solution. Taking into account both the GGA and the nonspherical contributions we arrived at moments of $\pm 1.11 \mu_B$ per Ru atom and an optical band gap of 0.02 eV. The energetical instability of this solution agrees with the fact that no long-range magnetic order is observed for the HT phase. The phase transition to the LT phase may thus be regarded as a spin-Peierls-like transition. Since the octahedra form chains parallel to the *c* axis, the structural transformation leads to the formation of spin ladders with antiferromagnetic coupling along the rungs as indicated in Fig. 4.

To conclude, electronic structure calculations for the HT and the LT structure of La₂RuO₅ reveal strong orbital ordering for the latter. While Hund's rule coupling within the degenerate t_{2g} manifold leads to a $d_{xy}^2 d_{xz}^1 d_{yz}^1$, $d_{xy}^1 d_{xz}^2 d_{yz}^1$, or $d_{xy}^1 d_{xz}^1 d_{yz}^2$ state in the HT phase, the structural changes associated with the triclinic structure cause substantial orbital ordering and drive the system into a $d_{xy}^1 d_{xz}^2 d_{yz}^1$ configuration. While well reflecting the Ru-O bond lengths, the latter preserves the local S = 1 moment and thus is in contradiction with previous interpretations of the LT phase. Spin-polarized calculations for the lowtemperature phase reveal compensation of the local moments due to their antiparallel alignment along the short Ru-Ru bonds. As the formation of short and long in-plane bonds in the triclinic phase leads to an effective pairing of chains, a spin-ladder system is generated.

We are grateful to I. Leonov, J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, and C. Schuster for fruitful discussions. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through Sonderforschungsbereich SFB 484 and by the BMBF 13N6918 (T. K.).

- M. Imada, A. Fujimori, and Y. Tokura, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 1039 (1998).
- [2] A tremendous body of literature exists on this subject. An early collection of contributions can be found in *Colossal*

Magnetoresistance, Charge Ordering and Related Properties of Manganese Oxides, edited by C. N. R. Rao and B. Raveau (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).

- [3] T. Mizokawa, D. I. Khomskii, and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. B 60, 7309 (1999); A. M. Goldman, Science 274, 1630 (1996); A. Moreo, S. Yunoki, and E. Dagotto, Science 283, 2034 (1999); Y. D. Chuang, A. Gromko, D. Dessau, T. Kimura, and Y. Tokura, Science 292, 1509 (2001); I. S. Elfimov, V. I. Anisimov, and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4264 (1999).
- [4] A.I. Liechtenstein, V.I. Anisimov, and J. Zaanen, Phys. Rev. B 52, R5467 (1995).
- [5] K. I. Kugel and D. I. Khomskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 37, 725 (1973); Sov. Phys. Usp. 25, 231 (1982).
- [6] V. Eyert, C. Laschinger, T. Kopp, and R. Frésard, Chem. Phys. Lett. 385, 249 (2004); R. Frésard, C. Laschinger, T. Kopp, and V. Eyert, Phys. Rev. B 69, 140405(R) (2004); H. Wu, M. W. Haverkort, Z. Hu, D. I. Khomskii, and L. H. Tjeng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 186401 (2005).
- [7] V. Eyert, U. Schwingenschlögl, and U. Eckern, Chem. Phys. Lett. **390**, 151 (2004); I. Leonov, A. Yaresko, A. N. Antonov, U. Schwingenschlögl, V. Eyert, and V.I. Anisimov, cond-mat/0508378.
- [8] T. Mizokawa, L.H. Tjeng, G.A. Sawatzky, G. Ghiringhelli, O. Tjernberg, N.B. Brookes, H. Fukazawa, S. Nakatsuji, and Y. Maeno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 077202 (2001).
- [9] Y. Maeno, H. Hasimoto, K. Yoshida, S. Nishizaki, T. Fujita, J. G. Bednorz, and F. Lichtenberg, Nature (London) **372**, 532 (1994); T. Oguchi, Phys. Rev. B **51**, R1385 (1995); D.J. Singh, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 1358 (1995).
- [10] A. Callaghan, C. W. Moeller, and R. Ward, Inorg. Chem.
 5, 1572 (1966); I. I. Mazin and D. J. Singh, Phys. Rev. B
 56, 2556 (1997).
- [11] P. Khalifah, R. Osborn, Q. Huang, H. W. Zandbergen, R. Jin, Y. Liu, D. Mandrus, and R. J. Cava, Science 297, 2237 (2002).
- [12] S. K. Malik, D. C. Kundaliya, and R. D. Kale, Solid State Commun. 135, 166 (2005).
- [13] P. Boullay, D. Mercurio, A. Bencan, A. Meden, G. Drazic, and M. Kosec, J. Solid State Chem. **170**, 294 (2003).
- [14] S. G. Ebbinghaus, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C 61, i96 (2005).
- [15] R. Osborn, comment at the Theoretical Magnetism Meeting, 2004 (unpublished).
- [16] D.I. Khomskii and T. Mizokawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 156402 (2005).
- [17] A. R. Williams, J. Kübler, and C. D. Gelatt, Jr., Phys. Rev. B 19, 6094 (1979).
- [18] V. Eyert, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 77, 1007 (2000).
- [19] V. Eyert and K.-H. Höck, Phys. Rev. B 57, 12727 (1998).
- [20] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [21] V. Eyert (unpublished).
- [22] H. Wu, Z. Hu, T. Burnus, J. D. Denlinger, P. G. Khalifah, D. Mandrus, L.-Y. Jang, H. H. Hsieh, A. Tanaka, K. S. Liang, J. W. Allen, R. J. Cava, D. I. Khomskii, and L. H. Tjeng, cond-mat/0606445 [Phys. Rev. Lett. (to be published)].